





# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE GROUP 2675 PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q53397

Ken-ichi TAKATORI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/256,346

Group Art Unit: 2675

•

Confirmation No.: 9700

Examiner: Alecia Diane NELSON

Filed: February 24, 1999

For:

LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY APPARATUS AND METHOD OF DRIVING THE

SAME

## **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

#### MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated November 29, 2004, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

### REMARKS

Claims 1-19 are pending in the application. Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims define patentable subject matter.

Claim 14 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as allegedly being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only.

However, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection is improper since claim 14 refers to claims 10 and 11 in the alternative (i.e., "any one of Claims 10 and 11"). See MPEP